

ANTI-NATIONAL & ANTI-HINDU RSS: DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE FROM ITS ARCHIVES

Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh (RSS founded in 1925) boasts of being the greatest defender of the nation and the largest organization of Hindus in the world. These brags are continuously repeated by RSS members who rule India at different levels and media subservient to the Hindutva cause. Unfortunately, these claims have not been confronted with the facts available in the RSS archives even by the researchers and rulers who professed to be anti-Hindutva thus the RSS narrative getting legitimacy.

Friends from India and abroad who are concerned about the increasing threats to the democratic-secular-egalitarian Indian polity (though debatable) from the Hindutva organizations have been feeling the need for a concise document on the sinister anti-national and anti-Hindu game-plan of the RSS and other like-minded offshoots. The need for such a document is rightly felt for countering the Hindutva propaganda at all levels. The following document is an attempt to accomplish this objective. It is hoped that equipped with this document all those who love India as a democratic-secular nation and want to save our beloved country from the Hindutva onslaught would be able to challenge the fountainhead of the Hindutva politics, RSS. It is divided into 2 parts: 'Anti-national RSS' and 'Anti-Hindu RSS'.

This narrative is based solely on the documents drawn from the RSS/Hindutva archives.

PART 1: ANTI-NATIONAL RSS

AGAINST SECULAR INDIA

The editorial (titled 'Glorious Hindu Nation') in the maiden issue of the English organ of RSS dated July 3, 1947, strongly rejected any talk of an Indian nation where Hindus and Muslims would stay as equal partners. It branded the concept of a composite Indian nation as a British conspiracy and went on to decry Mr. Hume (one of the founders of the Indian National Congress) for advancing the theory that

"Muslims were equal partners in this land of the Hindus—Hindusthan—and propagated the theory that Hindus and Muslims combined could only make a nation. Unfortunately, neither the Hindu masses nor the leaders could see the trap and they began to honestly believe that India's salvation lay in Hindu-Muslim unity, for which they strove with all their might. Things proceeded according to British plan and the Muslims began to claim equal partnership in the country."¹

Importantly, *Organizer* in an editorial (titled 'Whither') on the very eve of Independence, dated 14 August 1947, while rejecting the whole concept of a composite nation wrote:

"Let us no longer allow ourselves to be influenced by false notions of nationhood. Much of the mental confusion and the present and future troubles can be removed by the ready recognition of the simple fact that in Hindusthan only the Hindus form the nation and the national structure must be built on that safe and sound foundation...The nation itself must be built up of Hindus, on Hindu traditions, culture, ideas and aspirations."²

DENIGRATING THE NATIONAL FLAG

¹ *Organizer*, July 3, 1947.

² *Organizer*, August 14, 1947.

The RSS English organ *Organizer* in its editorial (titled 'The Nation's Flag'), dated July 17, 1947, while reacting to the news that the committee of the Constituent Assembly of India on national Flag had decided in favour of Tricolour as the National Flag since it was acceptable to all parties and communities wrote:

"We do not at all agree that the Flag 'should be acceptable to all parties and communities in India'. This is sheer nonsense. The Flag represents the nation and there is only one nation in Hindusthan, the Hindu Nation, with an unbroken history extending over 5,000 years. That is the nation and the flag must symbolize that nation and that nation alone. We can not possibly choose a flag with a view to satisfy the desires and wishes of all the communities. That is to complicate matters and is unwarranted and entirely unnecessary...We cannot order the choice of a flag as we order a tailor to make a shirt or coat for us..."

"If the Hindus in Hindusthan had a common civilization, culture, customs and manners, a common language and common traditions, they had also a flag, the oldest and the noblest in the world, just as they and their civilization are the oldest in the world. That is the historical perspective through which the question of our National Flag should be approached and not in the slipshod manner in which it is being done at present. It is true that temporary misfortunes following foreign invasions and all the horrors that attended them threw the National Flag of Hindus into the shade. But all knew that it is bound to rise again one day to its pristine glory and greatness. There is something immensely endearing to the nation's heart and soul in this unique colour of the Flag which is the colour peculiar to the morn [morning] when life-giving sun makes his slow but majestic appearance in the east. In the same way, our forefathers have handed down this glorious flag of ours as the life-giving force to the world. It is only the ignorant and the malicious who can not find or appreciate this peculiar charm, nobility and grandeur in the Flag—a charm, nobility and grandeur as glorious as that of the sun itself. This Flag and this alone can be the true national Flag of Hindusthan. That and that alone will be the only one acceptable to the nation. There is growing evidence of this insistent demand on the part of the public and the Constituent Assembly would be well advised to carry out their wishes."³

Shockingly, RSS organ *Organizer* on the eve of Independence of India carried a long piece, 'Mystery behind the *Bhagwa Dhawaj*', which while demanding hoisting of saffron flag at the ramparts of Red Fort in Delhi openly denigrated the choice of the Tricolour as the National Flag.

"The people who have come to power by the kick of fate may give in our hands the Tricolour but it never [sic] be respected and owned by Hindus. The word three is in itself an evil, and a flag having three colours will certainly produce a very bad psychological effect and is injurious to a country."⁴

It was in July 1947 that the Constituent Assembly of Independent India deliberated on the issue of the National Flag of the country and adopted the Tricolour as the national Flag. However, RSS under the leadership of M. S. Golwalkar refused to accept as one. While addressing a *Gurupurnima* gathering in Nagpur on July 14, 1946, stated that it was the saffron flag which in totality represented their great culture. It was the embodiment of God: **"We firmly believe that in the end the whole nation will bow before this saffron flag."**⁵

³ *Organizer*, July 17, 1947.

⁴ *Organizer*, August 14, 1947.

⁵ MS Golwalkar, *Shri Guruji Samagar Darshan* (collected works of Golwalkar in Hindi), Bhartiya Vichar Sadhna, Nagpur, nd., volume I, p. 98.

Even after independence when the Tricolour became the National Flag, it was the RSS which refused to accept it as the National Flag. Golwalkar opposed this choice of the national Flag in an essay entitled ‘Drifting and Drifting’ (reproduced from in the book *Bunch of Thoughts*, a collection of Golwalkar’s writings in English published by the RSS in 1966). Citing examples of ‘Drifting’ from the Hindutva goals by the Founding Fathers, Golwalkar wrote:

“...Our leaders have set up a new flag for our country. Why did they do so? It is just a case of drifting and imitating. How did this flag come into being? During the French Revolution, the French put up three stripes on their flag to express the triple ideas of ‘equality’, ‘fraternity’ and ‘liberty’. The American Revolution inspired by similar principles took it up with some changes. Three stripes therefore held a sort of fascination for our freedom fighters also. So, it was taken up by the Congress. Then it was interpreted as depicting the unity of the various communities-the saffron colour standing for the Hindu, the green for the Muslim and the white for all the other communities. Out of the non-Hindu communities the Muslim was specially named because in the minds of most of those eminent leaders the Muslim was dominant and without naming him they did not think that our nationality could be complete!

“When some persons pointed out that this smacked of a communal approach, a fresh, explanation was brought forward that the ‘saffron’ stood for sacrifice, the ‘white’ for purity and the ‘green’ for peace and so on. All these interpretations were discussed in the Congress Committees during those days. Who can say that this is a pure and healthy national outlook? It was just a politician’s patchwork, just political expediency. It was not inspired by any national vision or truth based on our national history and heritage. The same flag has been taken up today as our State Flag with only a glorious past. Then, had we no flag of our own? Had we no national emblem at all these thousands of years? Undoubtedly, we had. Then, why this void, this utter vacuum in our minds?”⁶

AGAINST NAMING THE COUNTRY AS INDIA

When the Constituent Assembly decided to name the country as India, it was RSS which vehemently demanded it to be named as ‘*Hindusthan*’, the land of and for Hindus. In an editorial (titled ‘*Hindusthan*’) in its English organ, *Organizer* dated July 31, 1947, it demanded that whether it was the issue of naming it or finalizing the character of its polity, the exclusive Hindu character must be guaranteed. It read:

“Great responsibility rests on the shoulders of the men now sitting and discussing details about the constitutional structure of Free *Hindusthan*. Posterity will judge them by their actions. Let them not betray the nation and her cherished ideals and aspirations. Let their guide be the innermost feelings and aspirations of the vast majority of the people of this country. *Unquestionably, they want their Mother Country to be named and known as Hindusthan.* [Italics as in the original] the word *Hindusthan* spontaneously brings to mind happy association of oneness of people of this land, their common culture, common history, common law and the common glorious ancestors, while the word India denotes the anti-thesis of these. It has nothing to inspire the nation. On the other hand, there is a whole chain of events that makes us feel ashamed and our souls revolt. This alone is enough justification to cast away the name India and adopt the natural one, *Hindusthan*. There can be no compromise on this. Those who are bent upon compromise, sacrificing fundamental principles are not only shirking their responsibility to the nation but they are thereby doing the greatest harm to it.”

⁶ MS Golwalkar, *Bunch of Thoughts*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1996 [first edition 1966], pp. 237-238.

The editorial concluded with the following demands: “Emphatically; the National Flag should be the traditional Hindu flag, the National Language, Hindi, and this dear homeland of ours, Hindusthan.”⁷

Interestingly, the terms Hindu and Hinduism are missing in any ancient ‘Hindu’ (Brahmanical) scripture. Swami Vivekananda stated that the term Hindu could not be applied to Hindus as it meant, **“inhabitants of the other side of the Indus...for all the people that live on this side of the Indus no longer belong to one religion. There are the Hindus proper, the Mohammedans, the Parsees, the Christians, the Buddhists, and Jains.”⁸**

He suggested that all those who follow Vedas should be known as Vedantists. It will be interesting to note that ‘Hindusthan’ was Sanskritization of the of the Persian term Hindoostan which Mughal rulers used to describe their kingdom.

MUSLIMS, CHRISTIANS & COMMUNISTS AS INTERNAL THREATS

The ‘Holy’ book for the RSS cadres ***Bunch of Thoughts***, has a long chapter titled as ‘Internal Threats’ in which Muslims and Christians are described as threat number 1 and 2 respectively. Communists are played at number 3. This chapter opens with the following statement:

“It has been the tragic lesson of the history of many a country in the world that the hostile elements within the country pose a far greater menace to national security than aggressors from outside.”⁹

While treating Muslims as threat number 1 he goes on to elaborate,

“Even to this day there are so many who say, ‘now there is no Muslim problem at all. All those riotous elements who supported Pakistan have gone away once for all. The remaining Muslims are devoted to our country. After all, they have no other place to go and they are bound to remain loyal’...It would be suicidal to delude ourselves into believing that they have turned patriots overnight after the creation of Pakistan. On the contrary, the Muslim menace has increased a hundredfold by the creation of Pakistan which has become a springboard for all their future aggressive designs on our country.”¹⁰

He goes on to spit venom against common Muslims in the following words:

“...within the country there are so many Muslim pockets, i.e., so many ‘miniature Pakistans’... The conclusion is that, in practically every place, there are Muslims who are in constant touch with Pakistan over the transmitter...”¹¹

While deliberating on the ‘Internal Threat’ number 2, the Christians, he says,

“such is the role of Christian gentlemen residing in our land today, out to demolish not only the religious and social fabric of our life but also to establish political domination in various pockets and if possible all over the land.”¹²

⁷ *Organizer*, July 31, 1947.

⁸ Complete Works of Vivekananda, vol. 3, Advaita Ashrama, Calcutta, 1997, pp. 228-229.

⁹ MS Golwalkar, *Bunch of Thoughts*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1996, p. 177.

¹⁰ MS Golwalkar, *Bunch of Thoughts*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1996, pp. 177-78.

¹¹ MS Golwalkar, *Bunch of Thoughts*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1996, p. 185.

¹² MS Golwalkar, *Bunch of Thoughts*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1996, p. 193.

RSS DEMANDED TRANSFER OF DELHI MUSLIMS TO PAKISTAN

Organizer dated September 25, 1947, demanded that all Muslims residing in Delhi should be repatriated to Pakistan. It wrote:

“Delhi for long formed part of the Punjab and since the exchange of population has been undertaken between West Punjab [Pakistan] and East Punjab [India], the right and only course left for the Government is to evacuate the Muslims of Delhi to west Punjab. It is pointed out that any other course would lead to grave trouble again, for the public mind, still remains disturbed due to fear that Muslims would create further trouble whenever they get an opportunity.”¹³

Golwalkar's hatred for Muslims was inexhaustible and never-ending. So far as his hatred for Muslims was concerned there was no difference in his views contained in *We or Our Nationhood Defined* in 1939, or his hatred for Muslims in 1960. In fact, this hatred got wilder. While addressing the leading RSS cadres of south India in Bangalore on November 30, 1960, he declared:

“Right from Delhi to Rampur, Muslims are busy hatching a dangerous plot, piling up arms and mobilizing their men, and probably biding their time to strike from within.”¹⁴

Shockingly, no proofs were given and law and order machinery was not informed about such a critical situation but this Guru of Hate continued spitting venom against patriotic Indian Muslims. His only purposed seemed to be to create mob-hysteria against Muslims. More shocking was that Indian State took no action against Golwalkar for creating enmity between 2 major religious communities of India.

For Golwalkar and RSS, Communists are described as ‘**Internal Threat**’ number 3 as the latter rise in defence of a democratic-secular Indian polity and challenge the Hindutva forces which are working overtime to undo an all-inclusive India.¹⁵

SIKHISM, JAINISM, AND BUDDHISM NOT INDEPENDENT RELIGIONS

RSS regards followers of Islam and Christianity as emigrant or foreigners and demands their cleansing as these two religions are declared to be foreign religions. However, RSS has no respect for Indian religions like Sikhism, Buddhism and Jainism as these are not treated as independent religions but part of Hinduism. Guru Golwalkar set the agenda by declaring that, **“the Buddhists, the Jain, the Sikh are all included in that one comprehensive word ‘Hindu’.”¹⁶**

RSS DECRIED THE DEMOCRATIC-SECULAR CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The second sarsanghchalak of the RSS, MS Golwalkar led the organization during 1940-1973. What RSS thought about the Indian Constitution would be clear from the following words of his reproduced from *Bunch of Thoughts* [p. 238]:

“Our Constitution too is just a cumbersome and heterogeneous piecing together of various articles from various Constitutions of Western countries. It has absolutely nothing, which can be called our own. Is there a single word of reference in its guiding

¹³ *Organizer*, September 25, 1947.

¹⁴ *Organizer*, September 25, 1947.

¹⁵ MS Golwalkar, *Bunch of Thoughts*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1996, p. 195.

¹⁶ Golwalkar, MS, *The Spotlights*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1974, p. 171.

principles as to what our national mission is and what our keynote in life is? No! Some lame principles form the United Nations Charter or from the Charter of the now defunct League of Nations and some features form the American and British Constitutions have been just brought together in a mere hotchpotch.”

In fact, RSS wanted this Constitution to be replaced by *Manusmriti* or Codes of Manu. When the Constituent Assembly of India had finalized the Constitution of India RSS was not happy. *Organizer* in an editorial (‘The Constitution’) on November 30, 1949, complained:

“The worst about the new constitution of Bharat is that there is nothing Bhartiya about it. The drafters of the Constitution have incorporated in it elements of British, American, Canadian, Swiss and sundry other constitutions. But there is no trace of ancient Bhartiya constitutional laws, institutions, nomenclature and phraseology in it...But in our constitution, there is no mention of the unique constitutional development in ancient Bharat. Manu’s Laws were written long before Lycurgus of Sparta or Solon of Persia. To this day his laws as enunciated in the *Manusmriti* excite the admiration of the world and elicit spontaneous obedience and conformity. But to our constitutional pundits that means nothing.”¹⁷

RSS IS COMMITTED FOR CONVERTING DEMOCRATIC-SECULAR INDIAN INTO A HINDU RASHTRA

Against Secularism

The RSS is committed to establish a Hindu Rashtra in opposition to a Secular India will be clear by the perusal of the oath (*pratigya*) which every member must take before admission into the RSS and prayer (*pararthana*) which is recited in its meetings.

Oath:

“Before the all-powerful God and my ancestors, I most solemnly take this oath, that I become a member of the RSS in order to achieve all round greatness of Bharatvarsha by fostering the growth of my sacred Hindu religion, Hindu society, and Hindu culture. I shall perform the work of the Sangh honestly, selflessly with my heart and soul, and I shall adhere to this goal all my life. Bharat Mata Ki Jai.”¹⁸

Thus they are not faithful to the Indian Nation as it exists as a legal entity but want to subvert it into a theocratic state like Muslim League which created Pakistan in the name of Islam.

Prayer:

Affectionate Motherland, I eternally bow to you/O Land of Hindus, you have reared me in comfort/O Sacred Land, the Great Creator of Good, may this body of mine be dedicated to you/I again and again bow before You/O God almighty, we the integral part of the Hindu Rashtra salute you in reverence/For Your cause have we girded up our loins/Give us Your Blessings for its accomplishment...”¹⁹

AGAINST DEMOCRACY

What kind of political system the RSS wants to usher in and run will be clear from the following words of MS Golwalkar’s speech which he delivered before the 1350 top level

¹⁷ *Organizer*, November 30, 1947.

¹⁸ Shakha Darshikha, Gyan Ganga, Jaipur, 1997, p. 66.

¹⁹ Shakha Darshikha, Gyan Ganga, Jaipur, 1997, p. 2.

cadres of the RSS at Resham Bagh, the RSS headquarters at Nagpur in 1940:

“RSS inspired by one flag, one leader and one ideology is lighting the flame of Hindutva in each and every corner of this great land.”²⁰

AGAINST FEDERALISM

The RSS is also dead against federal structure of the constitution, again a ‘Basic’ feature of the India polity. This is clear from the following communication of Golwalkar which he sent to the first session of the National Integration Council in 1961. It read:

“Today’s federal form of government not only gives birth but also nourishes the feelings of separatism, in a way refuses to recognize the fact of one nation and destroys it. It must be completely uprooted, constitution purified and unitary form of government be established.”²¹

Even in 1954 Golwalkar while presiding over one anti-federalism conference at Bombay demanded: **“India should have Central Rule and from administrative point of view states should be administered territories.”²²**

These have not been some stray ideas of the RSS ideologue on Indian Federalism. The ‘Holy’ for the RSS cadres, *Bunch of Thoughts*, has an exclusive chapter titled, **‘Wanted a unitary state.’** While presenting his remedy to the federal set-up of India Golwalkar wrote:

“The most important and effective step will be to bury deep for good all talk of a federal structure of our country’s Constitution, to sweep away the existence of all ‘autonomous’ or semi-autonomous ‘states’ within the one state viz., Bharat and proclaim ‘One Country, One State, One Legislature, One Executive’ with no trace of fragmentational, regional, sectarian, linguistic or other types of pride being given a scope for playing havoc with our integrated harmony. Let the Constitution be re-examined and re-drafted, so as to establish this Unitary form of Government and thus effectively disprove the mischievous propaganda indulged in by the British and so unwittingly imbibed by the present leaders, about our being just a juxtaposition of so many distinct ‘ethnic groups’ or ‘nationalities’ happening to live side by side and grouped together by the accident of geographical contiguity and one uniform supreme foreign domination.”²³

RSS & CONSPIRACIES ARE TWO SIDES OF THE SAME COIN

The central publication house of the RSS, Suruchi Prakashan, Delhi, published a book in 1997, titled *Param Vaibhav Ke Path Par* (ON THE ROAD TO GREAT GLORY) penned by Sadanand Damodar Sapre, a senior RSS functionary. This book contained details of more than 40 organizations created by the RSS for different tasks but more importantly it described how many of these organizations are run in a clandestine manner for hidden agendas. This publication showed that the whole network ran like a well-organized mafia through its subsidiaries and satellites. There has always been a conscious attempt to create confusion about its different fronts which provide RSS with the opportunity to dissociate with any of these as per its convenience. For instance, it used Hindu Jagaran Manch (HJM) for attacking Christians in late 1990s and when public opinion, media and Parliament seemed to turn against it, RSS denied any relation with HJM. However, according to this

²⁰ MS Golwalkar, *Shri Guruji Samagar Darshan* (collected works of Golwalkar in Hindi), Bhartiya Vichar Sadhna, Nagpur, nd., Volume I, p. 11.

²¹ MS Golwalkar, *Shri Guruji Samagar Darshan* (collected works of Golwalkar in Hindi), Bhartiya Vichar Sadhna, Nagpur, nd., Volume 3, p. 128.

²² MS Golwalkar, *Shri Guruji Samagar Darshan* (collected works of Golwalkar in Hindi), Bhartiya Vichar Sadhna, Nagpur, nd., Volume 3, p. 70.

²³ MS Golwalkar, *Bunch of Thoughts*, Sahitya Sindhu, Bangalore, 1996 [first edition 1966], p. 227.

publication Hindu Jagaran Manch was created by the RSS as admitted in the above-mentioned publication. The book confesses:

"From the point of view of Hindu awakening this kind of forums [like Hindu Jagran Manch] at present are active in 17 states with different names like 'Hindu Manch' in Delhi, 'Hindu Munani' in Tamilnadu, 'Hinduekjut' in Maharashtra. These are forums, not associations or organizations, that's why it is not required to have membership, registration and elections."²⁴

How RSS indulges in conspiracies can be known by the following disclosure in *Param Vaibhav Ke Path Par* about a case in Delhi immediately after Partition:

"Swayamsevaks had posed to have adopted Musalman religion in order to gain the confidence of Delhi Muslim League for knowing their conspiracies."²⁵

What these *swayamsevaks*, impersonating as Muslims, on the eve of Independence were doing was made clear by none other than Dr. Rajendra Prasad who later became first President of the Indian Republic. In a letter to the first Home Minister of India, Sardar Patel, on 14 March 1948, Prasad wrote:

"I am told that RSS people have a plan of creating trouble. They have got a number of men dressed as Muslims and looking like Muslims who are to create trouble with the Hindus by attacking them and thus inciting the Hindus. Similarly, there will be some Hindus among them who will attack Muslims and thus incite Muslims. The result of this kind of trouble amongst the Hindus and Muslims will be to create a conflagration."²⁶

PART 2: ANTI-HINDU RSS DOCUMENTS

RSS official site (<https://www.rss.org/Encyc/2015/3/13/Vision-and-Mission.html>) describes its 'Vision and Mission' in the following words:

"The Hindu culture is the life-breath of Hindusthan. It is therefore clear that if Hindusthan is to be protected, we should first nourish the Hindu culture. If the Hindu culture perishes in Hindusthan itself, and if the Hindu society ceases to exist, it will hardly be appropriate to refer to the mere geographical entity that remains as Hindusthan. Mere geographical lumps do not make a nation. The entire society should be in such a vigilant and organized condition that no one would dare to cast an evil eye on any of our points of honour.

"Strength, it should be remembered, comes only through organization. It is therefore the duty of every Hindu to do his best to consolidate the Hindu society. The Sangh is just carrying out this supreme task. The present fate of the country cannot be changed unless lakhs of young men dedicate their entire lifetime for that cause. To mould the minds of our youth towards that end is the supreme aim of the Sangh."

But the fundamental problem with RSS is that its definition of who are Hindus is exclusionary and is led by Brahmanical worldview. For an organization which has been aggressively fighting for imposing of Manusmriti as constitution of India, Hindu women, Lower Castes Hindus and non-Aryan Hindus do not exist as human beings, in fact, occupy status lower than animals. It divinely believes in inherent inequality among Hindus. Let us get familiarized

²⁴ Sadanand Damodar Sapre, *Param Vaibhav Ke Path Par*, Suruchi Prakashan, Delhi, 1997, p. 64.

²⁵ Sadanand Damodar Sapre, *Param Vaibhav Ke Path Par*, Suruchi Prakashan, Delhi, 1997, p. 86.

²⁶ Rajendra Prasad to Sardar Patel (March 14, 1948) cited in Neeraj Singh (ed.), *Nehru - Patel: Agreement Within Difference—Select Documents & Correspondences 1933-1950*, NBT, Delhi, p. 43.

with this major trait of RSS ideology.

CASTEISM & HINDUISM ARE SYNONYMOUS

Faith of RSS brass in *Manusmriti*, naturally, leads them to believe in Casteism too which gave birth to the debased practice of Untouchability. For RSS Casteism is the essence of Hindu Nationalism. Golwalkar did not mince words in declaring that Casteism was synonymous with the Hindu Nation. According to him, the Hindu people are no one else but,

"The Hindu People, they said, is the Virat Purusha, the Almighty manifesting Himself. Though they did not use the word 'Hindu', it is clear from the following description of the Almighty in Purusha-Sukta [in the 10th book of Rig Ved] wherein it is stated that the sun & the moon are His eyes, the stars and the skies are created from his nabhi [navel] and *Brahmin is the head, Kshatriya the hands, Vaishya the thighs and Shudra the feet.* [Italics as in the original text] This means that the people who have this fourfold arrangement, *i.e.*, the Hindu People, is [sic] our God. This supreme vision of Godhead is the very core of our concept of 'nation' and has permeated our thinking and given rise to various unique concepts of our cultural heritage."²⁷

What kind of a Hindutva civilization the RSS and Hindutva camp want to build by enforcing the laws of Manu, can be known by having a glimpse of the laws prescribed by Manu for the lower castes, Untouchables and women. Some of these dehumanizing and degenerated laws, which are presented here, are self-explanatory.

A selection of Laws of Manu denigrating Dalits/Untouchables

1. For the sake of the prosperity of the worlds (the divine one) caused the Brahmana, the Kshatriya, the Vaisya, and the Sudra to proceed from his mouth, his arm, his thighs and his feet. (I/31)
2. One occupation only the lord prescribed to the Sudras, to serve meekly even these (other) three castes. (I/91)
3. Once-born man (a Sudra), who insults a twice-born man with gross invective, shall have his tongue cut out; for he is of low origin. (VIII/270)
4. If he mentions the names and castes (jati) of the (twice-born) with contumely, an iron nail, ten fingers long, shall be thrust red-hot into his mouth. (VIII/271)
5. If he arrogantly teaches Brahmanas their duty, the king shall cause hot oil to be poured into his mouth and into his ears. (VIII/272)
6. He who raises his hand or a stick, shall have his hand cut off; he who in anger kicks with his foot, shall have his foot cut off. (VIII/280)

²⁷ Golwalkar, M. S., *Bunch of Thoughts*, p.36-37.

8. A low-caste man who tries to place himself on the same seat with a man of a high caste, shall be branded on his hip and be banished, or (the king) shall cause his buttock to be gashed. (VIII/281)

As per the Manu Code if Sudras are to be given most stringent punishments for even petty violations/actions, the same Code of Manu is very lenient towards Brahmins,

“Let him never slay a Brahmana, though he have committed all (possible) crimes; let him banish such an (offender), leaving all his property (to him) and (his body) unhurt.” (VIII/380)

A selection of Laws of Manu demeaning Hindu women

1. Day and night woman must be kept in dependence by the males (of) their (families), and, if they attach themselves to sensual enjoyments, they must be kept under one's control. (IX/2)
2. Her father protects (her) in childhood, her husband protects (her) in youth, and her sons protect (her) in old age; a woman is never fit for independence. (IX/3)
3. Women do not care for beauty, nor is their attention fixed on age; (thinking), ‘(It is enough that) he is a man,’ they give themselves to the handsome and to the ugly. (IX/14)
4. Through their passion for men, through their mutable temper, through their natural heartlessness, they become disloyal towards their husbands, however carefully they may be guarded in this (world). (IX/15)
5. (When creating them) Manu allotted to women (a love of their) bed, (of their) seat and (of) ornament, impure desires, wrath, dishonesty, malice, and bad conduct. (IX/17)²⁸

The reproduced parts of the Manu Code above need no further elaboration and commentary. They are too glaringly venomous, Fascist and horrible against Untouchables who are referred to as Sudras by Manu. Perhaps this was the reason that the German philosopher, Friedrich Nietzsche who contributed immensely to the growth of totalitarian ideas in Europe in the 20th century, fell in love with this work.

It is to be noted here that a copy of *Manusmriti* was burnt as a protest in the presence of Dr. BR Ambedkar during the historic Mahad agitation on December 25, 1927. Dr Ambedkar called upon Dalits to commemorate December 25 as the *Manusmriti Dehen Diwas* (*Manusmriti* burning day) in future. In fact, Brahmanism as basis of the RSS world-view, is the original Fascism in the history of human civilization.²⁹

The story does not end with *Manusmriti*. The RSS bookstores sell titles like *How to lead a Household Life* by Swami Ramsukhdas which openly preach violence against the Hindu

²⁸ The above selection of Manu's Codes is from F. Max Muller, *Laws of Manu*, LP Publications, Delhi, 1996; first published in 1886. The bracket after each code incorporates number of chapter/number of code according to the above edition.

²⁹ <https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/andhra-pradesh/manusmriti-dahan-divas-protest-staged-at-collectorate/article30396588.ece>

women. This title is part of low priced anti-woman literature published by Geeta Press which was awarded Gandhi Peace Award for 2021 by Modi government. This largest publication house of Hindu literature in the world, beside this title has more than 20 other titles containing the same anti-Hindu women philosophy. Here is a glimpse from this book which is in the question-answer form and available in English, Hindi and other regional Indian languages.

Question: What should the wife do if her husband beats her and troubles her?

Answer: The wife should think that she is paying her debt of her previous life and thus her sins are being destroyed and she is becoming pure. When her parents come to know this, they can take her to their own house because they have not given their daughter training to face this sort of bad behaviour.

Question: What should she do if her parents don't take her to their own house?

Answer: Under such circumstances what can the helpless wife do? She should reap the fruit of her past actions. She should patiently bear the beatings of her husband. By bearing them she will be freed from her sins and it is possible that her husband may start loving her.³⁰

There is blatant preaching in favour of the inhuman Sati as we will see in the following:

Question: Is 'Sati Pratha' (viz., the tradition of the wife being cremated with the dead body of the husband on the funeral pyre) proper or improper?

Answer: A wife's cremation with the dead body of her husband on the funeral pyre is not a tradition. She, in whose mind truth and enthusiasm come, burns even without fire and she does not suffer any pain while she burns. This is not a tradition that she should do so, but this is her truth, righteousness and faith in scriptural decorum.³¹

This book declares that **"Cremation of a wife with her husband's dead body is the backbone of Hindu Religion".³²**

RSS hatred for dark-skinned South Indians

Another anti-Hindu trait of RSS is hatred for 'dark-skinned' or non-Aryan Hindus. It was not long ago that a senior RSS ideologue, Tarun Vijay (the former editor of the RSS Hindi organ,

³⁰ Ramsukhdas, Swami, *How To Lead A Household Life*, Gita Press, Gorakhpur, 1999, p.43. (Gita Press has more than 15 titles denigrating women in many Indian languages including English. Some of the titles openly preach Sati and violence against women which are serious crimes under the Indian Penal Code.

³¹ *Ibid*, p. 36.

³² *Ibid*, pp. 59-60.

Panchajanya, ex-member of the Indian Parliament, former in-charge of the RSS project of spreading Hindutva politics in Tamil Nadu and former head of the 'India-Africa Parliamentary Friendship Group' reaffirmed it. Talking to a global news network while denying that Africans nationals were attacked in India due to Racism, he could not hide the reality that he was a die-hard Racist. According to him:

“If we were racist, why would (we) have the entire south (India) which is completely... you know Tamil (s), you (know people from) Kerala, you know Karnataka and people from Andhra. Why do we live with them? We have black people around us.”³³

He was candid in sharing his belief learnt at the RSS intellectual forums that White Indians from North of the country were living with “the black people around us” who inhabited Tamil Nadu, Kerala, Karnataka and Andhra. Tarun’s statement reemphasized the racist understanding of RSS about India; North Indians are White (Aryans) whereas South Indians are Black (Dravidians) thus belonging to two different races. The message from him was that Aryans of the North were doing a favour to Black South Indians by allowing the latter to stay with the former.

Golwalkar on human Crossbreeding in Kerala: Denigrating the Kerala Hindus specially women

RSS which claims to be the largest world organization of Hindus is, in fact, working over-time to establish the hegemony of the North Indian Brahmanis over the Hindu society in South India. The RSS brand of Brahmanism treats South Indian Hindus as inferior racially.

And RSS does it brazenly. Golwalkar was invited to address the students at the School of Social Science of Gujarat University on December 17, 1960. In this address, while underlying his firm belief in the Race Theory, he touched upon the issue of crossbreeding among human beings in the Indian society in history. He said:

“Today experiments in crossbreeding are made only on animals. But the courage to make such experiments on human beings is not shown even by the so-called modern scientist of today. If some human crossbreeding is seen today it is the result not of scientific experiments but of carnal lust. Now let us see the experiments our ancestors made in this sphere. In an effort to better the human species through cross breeding the Namboodri Brahmanas of the North were settled in Kerala³⁴.and a rule was laid down that the eldest son of a Namboodri family could marry only the daughter of Vaishya, Kashtriya or Shudra communities of Kerala [Golwalkar kept out Brahmin families]. Another still more courageous rule was that the first off spring of a married woman of any class must be fathered by a Namboodri Brahman and then she could beget children by her husband. Today this experiment will be called adultery, but it was not so, as it was limited to the first child.”³⁵

³³ <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/politics-and-nation/if-we-were-racists-we-wouldnt-be-living-with-south-indians-bjps-tarun-vijay/articleshow/58065423.cms>

³⁴ The issue of the origin of the Namboodri Brahmins is debatable.

³⁵ M. S. Golwalkar cited in *Organiser*, January 2, 1961.

The above statement of Golwalkar was highly worrying in many respects. Firstly, it proved that Golwalkar believed that even in the Hindu society India had a superior Race or breed and also an inferior Race which needed to be improved through crossbreeding. Secondly, a more worrying aspect was his belief that Brahmans of the North (India) and specially Namboodri Brahamans, belonged to a superior Race. Due to this quality, Namboodri Brahamanas were sent from the North to Kerala to improve the breed of inferior Hindus there. Interestingly, this was argued by a person who claimed to uphold the honour and unity of the Hindus world over. Thirdly, Golwalkar as a male chauvinist believed that a Namboodri Brahman male belonging to a superior Race from the North only could improve the inferior human Race from South. For him wombs of Kerala's Hindu women enjoyed no sanctity and were simply objects of improving breed through intercourse with Namboodri Brahamanas who in no way were related to them. Thus, Golwalkar was, in fact, confirming that in the past male dominated Hindu High Caste society forced newly-wedded women of other castes to pass their first nights by sleeping with superior Caste males.

Shockingly, Golwalkar expressed these Racist, anti-women and anti-egalitarian views not in the presence of some uneducated or anti-social elements but before a noble gathering of gentry consisting of the faculty and students of a prime university in Gujarat. In fact, Golwalkar was welcomed by Dr. B. R. Shenoy, Director of the School when he arrived at the auditorium. The press reports made it clear that there was no murmur of protest against such fascist and ridiculous ideas. It shows the degree of respectability which High Caste oratory enjoyed in Gujarat and explains why Hindutva could make inroads in this region.

Inside RSS: Males are volunteers and women are servants

RSS founded in 1925 was to be an exclusive male organization whose cadres were to be known as *swayamsevak* or volunteers. The RSS top brass made its intentions clear of treating women as of lower status than males when it decided to start its women wing; *Rashtr Sevika Samiti* in 1936. The nomenclature made it clear that women members were not called *swayamsevak* or volunteers but *Rashtr Sevika*, female servants of the nation³⁶ which was a Hindu nation. This identity of women in the *Rashtr Sevika Samiti* as servants was not only a technical issue but outcome of RSS' attitude towards Hindu women which glorifies the subservient role of women in the society. The difference in the status of cadres will be more clear by the perusal of the 'prayer' offered in these two organizations. The RSS cadres offer the following prayer:

"Affectionate Motherland, I eternally bow to you/O Land of Hindus, you have reared me in comfort/O Sacred Land, the Great Creator of Good, may this body of mine be dedicated to you/I again and again bow before You/O God Almighty, we the integral part of the Hindu *Rashtra* salute you in reverence/For Your cause have we girded up our loins/Give us Your Blessings for its accomplishment."³⁷

The *Sevika Samiti* members offer a prayer in Sanskrit language for which no official Hindi or English version is available. The prayer reads:

"O' MOTHERLAND, O Holy Land, these girls [daughters] of yours who have been cultivated and finely groomed by you, Salute you.

O' kind, auspicious Hindu Land we are dedicating our lives to you.

O' World Power we bow before you, You have built this great Hindu Nation.

It is due to your graciousness that we have equipped ourselves to adhere to this divine path.

³⁶ <https://www.gkexams.com/dictionary/hindi/37623-sevika-meaning-in-english.html>

³⁷ *Shakha Darshika* (Jaipur: Gyan Ganga Prakashan, 1997), 1.

O' Mother Durga The faithfulness/virginity/honour ['सतीत्व'] which has made this Nation divine, before which the whole world bows, bestow on your dear girls that ideal holy faithfulness/virginity/honour.

O' Mother, bestow on us the divine force to destroy immorality and evil habits, Bestow on us the divine power to inspire father, son, friend and husband to follow the right path.

O' Mother, Bless us so that we become good tempered/modest], steadfast], capable and organized, we follow our own religion and own course with maximum faith.

Bless us so that we become gratified mothers glorious and powerful Nation.³⁸ These are *Rashtr Sevika Samiti* members [who according to its website number around three lakh] only who pledge to maintain 'faithfulness/virginity', remain 'modest', 'steadfast', and not fall prey to 'immorality and evil habits'. The RSS male swayamsevak make no such commitment.

The RSS leadership misses no opportunity to emphasize the subservient role of the Hindu women. While addressing leading RSS cadres at Indore a stronghold of the RSS declared that Hindu women should restrict themselves to doing household chores as per the 'social contract'.

According to him:
"Theory of contract, theory of social contract, a husband and wife are bound by a contract which says 'you (woman) look after the household chores and satisfy me, I (man) will take care of your needs and will protect you', and until she delivers her duties without fail, he keeps her on the contract and if she fails to honour the contract, he disowns her..."³⁹

Bhagwat did not specify when this contract was executed and even if executed in the past, why should it have been binding on the following generations.

If RSS supporters feel that the above RSS documents reproduced are fake, the author invites them to file a criminal defamation case.

Shamsul Islam

July 5, 2025

Link for some of S. Islam's writings in English, Hindi, Urdu and translations into Marathi, Malayalam, Kannada, Bengali, Punjabi, Gujarati and video interviews/debates:

<http://du-in.academia.edu/ShamsulIslam>

Facebook: <https://facebook.com/shamsul.islam.332>

Twitter: @shamsforjustice

<http://shamsforpeace.blogspot.com/>

Email: notinjustice@gmail.com

Link for procuring Shamsul Islam's books in English, Hindi & Urdu:

<https://tinyurl.com/shams-books>

³⁸ <https://sevikasamiti.org/Prarthana>

³⁹ <https://www.ndtv.com/india-news/women-meant-to-do-household-chores-another-shocker-from-rss-chief-509519> & <https://www.indiatoday.in/india/north/story/rss-mohan-bhagwat-at-it-again-says-women-should-be-just-housewives-and-husbands-should-be-the-breadwinners-150908-2013-01-05>

